UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
_	Jose Perez	Case Nu	mber:	08-6260M		
present and	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S was represented by counsel. I cond the defendant pending trial in this o	clude by a preponderance of	nearing of the e	was held on Se vidence the defe	ptember 2, 2008. Defer endant is a flight risk and	idant was order the
		FINDINGS OF FAC	СТ			
find by a pi	reponderance of the evidence that:					
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
X	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crim	inal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in M	lexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty substantial family ties to Mexic		stantial	ties in Arizona	or in the United States	and has
	There is a record of prior failure	e to appear in court as orde	ered.			
	The defendant attempted to ev	ade law enforcement conta	act by f	leeing from law	enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maxi	mum of	\	ears imprisonm	ent.	
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the f the hearing in this matter, except	e material findings of the Pr as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF I		ervices Agency	which were reviewed by	the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the No condition or combination of DIR	defendant will flee.	assure	the appearance	e of the defendant as rec	quired.
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custos facility separate, to the extent pract defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney the United States Marshal for the	ody of the Attorney Genera ticable, from persons await onable opportunity for priva y for the Government, the p	al or his ing or s ite cons erson i	/her designated erving sentence sultation with define charge of the chection with a conection with a conecti	s or being held in custod ense counsel. On order corrections facility shall o	y pending of a cour
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal by of the motion for review/reconside	of this detention order be f	iled wit	h the District Co	urt, it is counsel's respor the hearing set before the	nsibility to ne Distric
IT IS Services su	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releficiently in advance of the hearing the potential third party custodian.	ease to a third party is to be before the District Court to	e consid o allow	dered, it is couns Pretrial Service	sel's responsibility to noti s an opportunity to inte	fy Pretria rview and
DA	TED this 3 rd day of Septem	ber, 2008.				
		Sun	-			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge